IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 23925 of 2004**

Between:

1 G.Mohan Reddy, S/o.G.Gopal,
R/o.6-9-71/1, New Raghavendra Colony, Hyderabad Road,

Nalgodna District.
2 B.Venkataiah, S/o.Ramachandraiah,
New Raghavendra Colony, Hyderabad, Nalgonda District.
3 T.Sagar Reddy, S/o.Ram Chandra Reddy,
R/o.Ottapally (P), Miryalaguda, Nalgonda District.
4 N. Balaiah, S/o.Ramulu,
R/o.Rustapur (Post), Bhongir Mandal, Nalgonda District.

**..... PETITIONERS**

AND

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC Nalgonda, Nalgonda District.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC., Miryalaguda Bus Depot.

Nalgonda District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC Yadagirigutta Bus Depot,

Nalgonda District.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not giving the Special Grade/Stagnation Increment to the Petitioners with effect from their completion of 12 years of Service in A.P.S.R.T.C in total (All Regions) and counting the present regions services of 12 years for 12 years Stagnation Increment/Special Grade as

bad arbitrary, illegal, unjust and contrary to the Circulars and contrary to the Judgments of this Hon'ble Court and consequently direct the respondents to effect the 12 years Stagnation Increment/Special Grade to the Petitioners on their completion of 12 years of service in the all the regions of the Corporation and pass such further other order or orders.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.G.RAVI MOHAN**

**Counsel for the Respondents: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD**

**The Court made the following:**

**ORDER:**

Heard both sides and at their request the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the stage of admission.

**2.** The grievance of the writ petitioners is that the respondent-Corporation is not giving the Special Grade/Stagnation increment to them with effect from their completion of 12 years of service in the Corporation.

**3.** The purport of the decision reported by this Court in W.A.No.1301 of 2000, dated 29.10.2002, is that the entire service of the petitioner in the Corporation has to be taken into consideration for the purpose of giving the benefit of stagnation increment. Certain guidelines have been framed by the respondent-Corporation, more particularly, in circular No.P.D-113/1989, dated 03.06.1989, for grant of stagnation increments. Therefore, it is for the respondent-Corporation to decide the matter whether the petitioners are entitled for the stagnation increments in pursuance of the aforesaid circular order.

**4.** Therefore, a direction is given to the respondent-Corporation to give the Special Grade/Stagnation increment as per the rules taking into account the petitioners' total service of 12 years in the Corporation.

**5.** The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. In the circumstances without costs.

_____

K.C.BHANU, J

22nd December, 2004

SKM

To

1 The Regional Manager, APSRTC, Nalgonda, Nalgonda District.
2 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Miryalaguda Bus Depot.

Nalgonda District.
3 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Yadagirigutta Bus Depot,

Nalgonda District.

4. Two C.D. copies